

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/574,419	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ernest G. Therkorn	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) James J. Kelly.

**Date of Interview:** 21 January 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed submitting a terminal disclaimer and cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. James J. Kelly then indicated that he would submit a terminal disclaimer and authorized cancellation of the non-elected inventions.